## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

EUGENE DAYTON PRINGLE, JR.

Plaintiff, No. 3:13-cv-00309-SU

v. ORDER

STATE OF OREGON, JOHN KITZHABER, UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL ERIC HOLDER, et al.

Defendants.

## HERNÁNDEZ, District Judge:

Magistrate Judge Sullivan issued a Findings and Recommendation [56] on June 30, 2014, in which she recommends that this Court grant the Department of Interior's Motion to Dismiss [53], grant Attorney General Holder's Motion to Dismiss [54], and dismiss Mr. Pringle's Amended Complaint [4].

The matter is now before me pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b).

Because no objections to the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation were timely filed, I am relieved of my obligation to review the record *de novo*. United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc); see also United States v. Bernhardt, 840 F.2d 1441, 1444 (9th Cir. 1988) (de novo review required only for portions of Magistrate Judge's report to which objections have been made). Having reviewed the legal principles de novo, I find no error.

## **CONCLUSION**

The Court ADOPTS Magistrate Judge Sullivan's Findings & Recommendation [56]. Accordingly, the Department of Interior's Motion to Dismiss [53] is granted, Attorney General Holder's Motion to Dismiss [54] is granted, and Mr. Pringle's Amended Complaint [4] is dismissed, without prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, 2014.

United States District Judge